

REMARKS

In the Office Action of December 1, 2003, the Examiner has rejected Claim 59 under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being incomplete for omitting essential steps amounting to a gap between the steps. Applicant is canceling Claim 59 so this issue is moot.

Claims 1, 3-13, 15, 16, 18-25 and 27-58 have been allowed over the prior art made of record.

Since no issues remain, applicant respectfully requests issuance of a patent with the aforementioned allowed claims.

Respectfully submitted,



RICHARD A. JOEL
496 Kinderkamack Road
Oradell, NJ 07649
(201) 599-0588
Reg. No. 22212

Dated: January 30, 2004

C:\OneDrive and Seagate\Richard\My Documents\patents\jnb\bob\12-1-03 reply.doc